

# $\neg$ SAT

## A Dummy Approach to P vs NP

Charles Dana, H23, Discrete Mathematician

February 21, 2024

### Abstract

In this article, will try and introduce a framework for SAT Solving. Under the assumption that the SAT Instance  $\varphi$  is hard to solve, we will try to generate the opposite SAT Instance  $\vartheta = 1 - \varphi$ . A  $\vartheta$  SAT Instance by definition is the intersection of the negation of partial assignments of  $\varphi$ .

$$\neg(\bigwedge \bigvee) = \bigvee \bigwedge \neg$$

What is an AND ( $\wedge$ ) statement, if not the assertion that the variables are assigned. What is an OR ( $\vee$ ) statement, if not an AND Statement alongside with dummy variables. How many dummy variables do you need to describe  $2^n$  OR assertions?  $n$  suffice.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Introducing a simple $\varphi$ Instance

```
p cnf 2 2
1 -2 0
-1 0
```

$$\varphi = \{(0, 0)\} \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

### 1.2 Introducing a simple $\vartheta = \neg\varphi$ Instance

$$\vartheta = \{(1, 1), (0, 1), (1, 0)\} \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$$

```
p cnf 2 1
1 2 0
```

Technically, this is very elegant, because as we can see the negation of the unique clause gives the unique solution, without using dummy variables. But rest assured, a SAT Instance is way more complex than this.

But let's not cheat and use 3 as a dummy variable.

```
p cnf 3 3
-1 3 0
2 3 0
1 -3 0
```

$\vartheta = \{(1, 1, \cdot), (0, 1, \cdot), (1, 0, \cdot)\} \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  This was made possible without relying on previous knowledge on the initial  $\varphi$  solution set, and so long you ignore the assignation of  $x_3$ , the created instance is isomorphic to  $\neg\varphi$ . If you are being smart, you will not use one dummy variable per clause, but rather  $\log(m)$  dummy variables, where the assertion contradiction is to be made on the remainder.

**Proposition** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $K$ -SAT instance with  $n$  variables and  $m$  clauses. There exists a SAT instance  $\vartheta$  with  $n + \lceil \log(m) \rceil$  variables and at most  $2^{\lceil \log Km \rceil}$  clauses, where:

$$\varphi = \neg\vartheta$$

**Proof** By python code.

```
def reversat(SAT, show=False):
    if show:
        print(SAT)
    VARS = max((abs(x) for clause in SAT for x in clause))
    VTH = []
    NUM_CLAUSES = len(SAT)
    m = 1
    while 2**m < NUM_CLAUSES:
        m = m + 1
    for idx in range(len(SAT)):
        clause = SAT[idx]
        for l in clause:
            bnr = "0" * (m - len(bin(idx).replace("0b",""))) + bin(idx).replace("0b", "")
            VTH += [[-1] + [(VARS + k + 1) * [-1,1][int(bnr[k])]] for k in range(len(bnr))]]
    idx = len(SAT)
    while idx < 2**m:
        bnr = "0" * (m - len(bin(idx).replace("0b",""))) + bin(idx).replace("0b", "")
        VTH += [[(VARS + k + 1) * [-1,1][int(bnr[k])]] for k in range(len(bnr))]]
        idx += 1
    if show:
        print(VTH)
    return VTH
```